

# Discussion Section Document Structure

## 1. Interpretation of Key Findings

Clearly explain the main findings of your research, highlighting their significance and referencing specific results. Discuss how these findings address the research questions or objectives.

## 2. Comparison with Previous Studies

Compare your results with relevant literature. Highlight consistencies, differences, and possible reasons for discrepancies. Illustrate how your findings contribute to or challenge existing knowledge.

## 3. Implications

Discuss the practical, theoretical, and/or policy implications of your results. Explain how your research advances the field and its potential impact on stakeholders.

## 4. Study Limitations

Acknowledge limitations related to study design, methodology, sample, generalizability, or potential sources of bias. Briefly comment on how these limitations may affect the interpretation of your findings.

## 5. Future Research Directions

Suggest areas for future studies based on your findings and limitations. Indicate open questions, gaps that remain, or new hypotheses generated from your results.

## 6. Conclusion (Optional or as a Separate Section)

Provide a concise summary statement connecting the discussion points. Reaffirm the value and contribution of your research.

### Important Notes about the Discussion Section

- Focus on interpretation, not simple repetition of results.
- Be objective and balanced in discussing strengths and limitations.
- Relate findings clearly to the existing body of literature.
- Keep the discussion organized with well-defined subheadings if possible.
- Avoid unsupported speculationâ"ground interpretations in evidence.